



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/498,098	02/04/2000	Jeffrey Stack	AURO1330	8316
7590 01/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Lisa A. Haile, Ph.D.			ANGELL, JON E	
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 4365 Executive Drive, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92121-2133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/498,098	STACK ET AL.				
Authory Housen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jon Eric Angell	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the content of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the decirity of the fee. The appropriate extension				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>60</u> would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separ	ate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>50</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>60</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-38,40,50 and 80-87</u> .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 55.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	•	Jon Eric Angell				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants have amended claims to include a limitation that was not previously included in the claims. The amedment raises new issues that would require further search and/or considerations and may raise the issue of new matter. Specifically, the amendment adds the limitation "an amino acid sequence at least 85% identical to the amino acid sequence of wild-type ubiquitin". Further search would be required for amino acid sequences which meet this limitation. Additionally, additional consideration would be required with respect to written description and new matter for the sequences that are at least 85% identical to the amino acid sequence of wild-type ubiquitin. It is noted that applicants have not explicitly indicated the exact location in the specification where support for the amendment can be found. Furthermore, additional consideration and/or search would be required with respect to the amendment that changes "decreasing" the stability of the reporter moiety to "increasing" its stability.

Continuation of 10. Other: : Applicants arguments and request for reconsideration are based on the amended claims. Since the amendment has not been entered, the claims have not been amended. Thus applicants arguments and request for reconsideration are not persuasive..

PRIMARY EXAMINED